PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty) 0 8 JUN 2005

			(PCT Article 36	and Rule 70)	WIPO PCT			
Applicant's or agent's file reference SMC 60596/WO			FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/GB2004/002339			International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.06.2003			
			ational classification and IP /12, C07C25/18, C070		,			
Appli AVE	icant ECIA LIMITED et	al.			·			
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This REPORT co	onsists of a total of	of 6 sheets, including th	is cover sheet.				
3.	This report is also	o accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisin	g:				
	a. D sent to the	e applicant and to	the International Burea	au) a total of sheets, as	follows:			
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).							
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.							
	sequence	listing and/or tab	les related thereto, in c	idicate type and number omputer readable form o 2 of the Administrative II	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental nstructions).			
4.	This report conta	ins indications re	lating to the following ite	ems:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the opi	nion					
	☐ Box No. II	Priority			·			
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	⊠ Box No. V							
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docume	ints cited					
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international appl	ication				
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observa	tions on the internation	al application	•			
Date	of submission of the	demand	•	Date of completion of this	report			
15.	11.2004	•	· •	06.06.2005				
	e and mailing addres		nal	Authorized Officer				
preli	minary examining au European i	thority: Patent Office		Telephone No. +49 89 23	399-8335 germena Patencent			
	Luiopedii	Profit Office	·					

Seelmany

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002339

_							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
1.	With regar filed, unles	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	which □ inte □ pul	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) blication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)					
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):						
٠	Description	n, Pages					
	1-12	as originally filed					
	Claims, Nu	mbers					
	1-12	as originally filed					
	□ a sequ	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing					
3.	☐ the ☐ the ☐ the ☐ the	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of: description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): y table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
4.	Supplemer the the the	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below en made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ntal Box (Rule 70.2(c)). description, pages claims, Nos. drawings, sheets/figs sequence listing (specify): // table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):					
	* If it	em 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002339

		k No. III Non-establishment o blicability	of op	inion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial				
1.	The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be nor obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		the entire international applicati	ion,					
	\boxtimes							
		because:						
	×	the said international application does not require an international	the said claims Nos. 12 relate to the following subject matter which eliminary examination (specify):					
		see separate sheet						
ð	⊠ .	the description, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. 12 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :						
•		see separate sheet						
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.						
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
		the written form		has not been furnished				
				does not comply with the standard				
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished				
				does not comply with the standard				
		the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, or not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
		☐ See separate sheet for further details						

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002339

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims
1-11
No: Claims
7-10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
4-6
No: Claims
1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims
1-11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:

D1 K. K. Laali et al., J. Fluor. Chem., 107, 31-34 (2001) cited in the application

D2 EP 0 776 877

D3 EP 0 596 684

D4 D. W. Kim et al., J. Org. Chem., 68, 4281-4285 (2003)

V.1 Novelty - Art. 33(2) PCT

D1 discloses fluorodediazoniation reactions performed in ionic liquid solvents without the need to first isolate the diazonium salt. The reactions are performed in a Schlenk tube, i.e. inert conditions avoiding the use of an aqueous solvent.

D2 and **D3** relate to the preparation of substituted aryl compounds from aryl amine equivalent via diazonium formation with CuX/R and HBr followed by nucleophilic substitution. Both described processes therein do not require the isolation of the diazonium salt and are characterized by high yields ca. 80-90%.

D4 describes the study of the reactivity of various metal halides in nucleophilic substitution reactions in the presence of ionic liquids.

None of the above cited documents pertain to the present claimed process so that novelty could be recognized for the process according to claims 1-11.

V.2 Inventive step - Art. 33(3) PCT

No inventive step is recognized for the process according to claims 1-11 for the following reasons:

- 2.1 The closest related process of **D2** differs in that no ionic liquid is used. The technical problem is to provide another process for performing nucleophilic substitution reactions on aryl diazonium salts. The solution is the process according to claim 1 using a hydrophobic ionic liquid.
- 2.1a The proposed process has been proven to be solution of the present technical

process in case of starting materials being 4,4'-methylenedianiline or 4-nitroaniline (examples 1-3). In these examples, it is noted that the reactivity of the nucleophile is different, the chloride being less reactive than the bromide. This reactivity is namely influenced by the solvent media (D5, introduction on page 31, 2nd paragraph). It is doubtful if the proposed solution works for the entire scope of protection, for instance for fluorodediazoniation.

- 2.1b Ionic liquids are regarded as alternative, environmentally friendly reaction media for conventional organic reactions. They have been proven to increase selectivity and facilitate the catalyst recovery. Additionally the immiscibility of some ionic liquids in water (solvent in D2) affords facile extraction of the desired products from ionic liquids (D4, introduction on pages 4281-4282 and figure 1). Accordingly in view of the latest development in ionic liquid research, the man skilled in the art would be inclined to combine the teachings of D2 and D4 and come up to the present solution.
- 2.2 If D1 is considered as the closest prior art document. Its diclosed process differs only from the present one in that it is performed under anhydrous conditions allowing recovery yields of 100% (cf table 2). All the examples in this document are performed under anhydrous conditions even tough the rest of the document is silent about using such stringent conditions and such conditions are not necessary for performing diazotisation/nucleophilic reactions (D2/D3). In view of D4 the use of water in connection to ionic liquids is not excluded and on the contrary encouraged since the immiscibility of some of them in water facilityte the recovery of the product. Accordingly the proposed solution is obvious in view of D1 and D4.

V.3 Further comments

3.1 Claim 12 refers to the description, which renders the assessment of the sought scope of protection unclear. Such a formulation is not allowable according to rule 6.2 (a) or PCT Guidelines CII-5.10.